

Math 346 Lecture #4
6.4 Properties of the Derivative

Throughout let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be Banach spaces over the same field \mathbb{F} , and U an open set in X .

We have already been using the next result, and will continue to use it tacitly.

Lemma 6.4.1. For a function $f : U \rightarrow Y$, a point $x \in U$, and $L \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$, the following are equivalent.

- (i) The function f is differentiable at x with derivative L .
- (ii) For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ with $B(x, \delta) \subset U$ such that for all $h \in B(x, \delta)$ there holds

$$\|f(x+h) - f(x) - Lh\|_Y \leq \epsilon \|h\|_X.$$

The only minor wrinkle in the proof of this is the \leq in part (ii). But this follows by replacing ϵ with $\epsilon/2$ when applying the definition of differentiable.

6.4.1. Linearity

The reader is reminded of Theorem 3.5.11 that for Banach spaces $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$, the collection $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ is a normed linear space, so that linear combinations of finitely many elements of $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ belong to $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$.

Recall that the image $Df(x)v$ of the derivative is not linear in x , but it is linear in v . We now show that this image is linear in f .

Theorem 6.4.2 (Linearity, pointwise). For $f, g : U \rightarrow Y$, if f and g are differentiable at $x \in U$, then for any $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ the linear combination $af + bg$ is differentiable at x and

$$D(af + bg)(x) = aDf(x) + bDg(x).$$

Proof. By the assumption of differentiability of f and g at x , for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ (the minimum of the δ 's for f and g and the radius of a ball centered at x and contained in U) such that for all $h \in B(x, \delta)$ there holds

$$\|f(x+h) - f(x) - Df(x)h\|_Y \leq \frac{\epsilon \|h\|_X}{2(|a| + 1)}$$

and

$$\|g(x+h) - g(x) - Dg(x)h\|_Y \leq \frac{\epsilon \|h\|_X}{2(|b| + 1)}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \|af(x+h) + bg(x+h) - af(x) - bg(x) - aDf(x)h - bDg(x)h\|_Y \\ & \leq |a| \|f(x+h) - f(x) - Df(x)h\|_Y + |b| \|g(x+h) - g(x) - Dg(x)h\|_Y \\ & \leq \frac{\epsilon|a| \|h\|_X}{2(|a| + 1)} + \frac{\epsilon|b| \|h\|_X}{2(|b| + 1)} \\ & \leq \epsilon \|h\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

Since $Df(x)$ and $Dg(x)$ both belong to $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$, then $aDf(x) + bDg(x) \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$.

Thus $af + bg$ is differentiable at x with derivative $aDf(x) + bDg(x)$. \square

Note. The version of Theorem 6.4.2 in the book – if f and g are differentiable on U then $af + bg$ is differentiable on U with derivative $aDf + bDg$ – follows from the pointwise version proved above.

Remark 6.4.3. An immediate consequence of Theorem 6.4.2 is that the set $C^1(U, Y)$ is a vector space. Is there a “natural” norm on this vector space? Yes, and we will learn about it in Section 6.5 (and give the definition of it – something missing in the book).

6.4.2 The Product Rule

We can not multiply $f, g : U \rightarrow Y$, i.e., $f(x)g(x)$ might not make sense, for an arbitrary Banach space Y . However if $Y = \mathbb{F}$, then we can.

Theorem 6.4.4 (Product Rule – pointwise). If $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$, are differentiable at $x \in U$, then the product $h = fg$ is differentiable at x and the derivative of h at x satisfies

$$Dh(x) = g(x)Df(x) + f(x)Dg(x),$$

i.e., for all $\xi \in X$ we have

$$Dh(x)\xi = g(x)(Df(x)\xi) + f(x)(Dg(x)\xi) \in \mathbb{F},$$

because $Df(x) \in \mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{F})$ and $Dg(x) \in \mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{F})$ so that $Df(x)\xi$ and $Dg(x)\xi$ both belong to \mathbb{F} , whence as $g(x)$ and $f(x)$ both belong to \mathbb{F} that $g(x)(Df(x)\xi)$ and $f(x)(Dg(x)\xi)$ both belong to \mathbb{F} , so that finally $Dh(x)\xi$ belongs to \mathbb{F} .

Proof. Assuming f and g are differentiable at x for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is $\delta_x > 0$ (the minimum of a finite number of positive δ 's) with $B(x, \delta) \subset U$, and a constant $L > 0$ (by Proposition 6.3.7) such that for all $0 < \|h\| < \delta_x$ there holds

$$|f(x+h) - f(x)| \leq L\|h\|_X,$$

and

$$|f(x+h) - f(x) - Df(x)h| \leq \frac{\epsilon\|h\|_X}{3(|g(x)| + 1)}$$

and

$$|g(x+h) - g(x) - Dg(x)h| \leq \frac{\epsilon\|h\|_X}{3(|f(x)| + L)}.$$

We are going to do an $\epsilon/3$ argument, and this will require the presence of three constraints on the choice of δ .

For $\epsilon > 0$ choose

$$\delta = \min \left\{ 1, \delta_x, \frac{\epsilon}{3L(\|Dg(x)\|_{X, \mathbb{F}} + 1)} \right\}.$$

Each constraint on δ will be used for one of the $\epsilon/3$ parts.

When $0 < \|h\|_X < \delta$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |f(x+h)g(x+h) - f(x)g(x) - g(x)Df(x)h - f(x)Dg(x)h| \\
&= |f(x+h)g(x+h) - f(x+h)g(x) + f(x+h)g(x) - f(x)g(x) \\
&\quad + f(x+h)Dg(x)h - f(x+h)Dg(x)h - g(x)Df(x)h - f(x)Dg(x)h| \\
&\leq |f(x+h)| |g(x+h) - g(x) - Dg(x)h| \\
&\quad + |g(x)| |f(x+h) - f(x) - Df(x)h| \\
&\quad + |f(x+h) - f(x)| \|Dg(x)\|_{X,\mathbb{F}} \|h\|_X \\
&\leq (|f(x)| + L) \frac{\epsilon \|h\|_X}{3(|f(x)| + L)} + |g(x)| \frac{\epsilon \|h\|_X}{3(|g(x)| + 1)} + \delta L \|Dg(x)\|_{X,\mathbb{F}} \|h\|_X \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

where we have made use of the implication

$$|f(x+h) - f(x)| \leq L\|h\|_X \Rightarrow |f(x+h)| \leq |f(x)| + L\|h\|_X$$

and the implication $\delta < 1 \Rightarrow \|h\|_X < 1$. □

We now look at other product-like differentiation rules. One of these involve matrix functions. We say that a matrix function is differentiable at a point in its domain if every entry in the matrix function is differentiable at that point. The derivative of a differentiable matrix function is the entry-wise derivative of the matrix function.

Proposition 6.4.6 (pointwise version). (i) For an open set U of \mathbb{R}^n , let $u, v : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, and define $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x) = u(x)^T v(x).$$

If u and v are differentiable at a point $x \in U$, then f is differentiable at x and the derivative of f at x satisfies

$$Df(x) = u(x)^T Dv(x) + v(x)^T Du(x),$$

i.e., for all $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$Df(x)h = u(x)^T (Dv(x)h) + v(x)^T (Du(x)h) \in \mathbb{R},$$

because $Dv(x)$ and $Du(x)$ both belong to $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ so that $Dv(x)h$ and $Du(x)h$ both belong to \mathbb{R}^m , whence as $u(x)$ and $v(x)$ both belong to \mathbb{R}^m that $u(x)^T (Dv(x)h)$ and $v(x)^T (Du(x)h)$ both belong to \mathbb{R} , so finally that $Df(x)h$ belongs to \mathbb{R} .

(ii) For a matrix $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ the function $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $g(x) = x^T A x$ is differentiable at every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with

$$Dg(x) = x^T (A + A^T),$$

i.e., for all $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$Dg(x)h = x^T (A + A^T)h \in \mathbb{R},$$

because $A + A^T \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ so that $(A + A^T)h \in \mathbb{R}^n$, so that $x^T(A + A^T)h \in \mathbb{R}$.

(iii) For an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^n let $w : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $B : U \rightarrow M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{R})$ and define $H : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ by $H(x) = B(x)w(x)$. If w and B are differentiable at $x \in U$, then the function H is differentiable at x with

$$DH(x) = B(x)Dw(x) + \begin{bmatrix} w(x)^T Db_1^T(x) \\ w(x)^T Db_2^T(x) \\ \vdots \\ w(x)^T Db_k^T(x) \end{bmatrix},$$

where b_i is the i^{th} row of B , i.e., for each $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$DH(x)\xi = B(x)(Dw(x)\xi) + \begin{bmatrix} w(x)^T (Db_1^T(x)\xi) \\ w(x)^T (Db_2^T(x)\xi) \\ \vdots \\ w(x)^T (Db_k^T(x)\xi) \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^k,$$

because $Dw(x) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$, whence $Dw(x)\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$ so that $B(x)(Dw(x)\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^k$, and because $b_i^T : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ so that $Db_i^T(x) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$, whence $Db_i^T(x)\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$, so that $w(x)^T (Db_i^T(x)\xi) \in \mathbb{R}$.

The proof of Proposition 6.4.6 is HW (Exercise 6.16). Hint: for part (i) write $u(x)$ and $v(x)$ in terms of standard coordinates and apply Theorem 6.4.4; for part (ii) put the guess for the derivative in the definition and see what happens; for part (iii) write $w(x)$ and $B(x)$ in standard coordinates for $n = 2$, $m = 2$, and $k = 2$ and see what happens, keeping in mind that Fréchet derivatives are linear transformations.

6.4.3 The Chain Rule

Recall from Theorem 3.5.14 that for normed linear spaces $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$, $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$, and $(Z, \|\cdot\|_Z)$, if $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ and $S \in \mathcal{B}(Y, Z)$, then the composition $ST \in \mathcal{B}(X, Z)$.

Theorem 6.4.7 (The Chain Rule, pointwise version). Suppose $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$, $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$, and $(Z, \|\cdot\|_Z)$ are Banach spaces, that U is open in X and V is open in Y , and $f : U \rightarrow Y$ and $g : V \rightarrow Z$ with $f(U) \subset V$. If f is Fréchet differentiable at $x \in U$ and g is Fréchet differentiable at $y = f(x) \in V$, then $h = g \circ f : U \rightarrow Z$ is Fréchet differentiable at x with

$$Dh(x) = Dg(f(x))Df(x),$$

i.e., for all $\xi \in X$ we have

$$Dh(x)\xi = Dg(f(x))(Df(x)\xi) \in Z$$

because $Df(x) \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ so that $Df(x)\xi \in Y$, and because $Dg(f(x)) \in \mathcal{B}(Y, Z)$ so that $Dg(f(x))(Df(x)\xi) \in Z$.

Proof. Choose $\epsilon > 0$.

By the assumed differentiability of f at x and the assumed differentiability of g at $y = f(x)$, there is $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $B(x, \delta_1) \subset U$ and for all $\xi \in X$ satisfying $0 < \|\xi\|_X < \delta_1$ there holds

$$\|f(x + \xi) - f(x) - Df(x)\xi\|_Y \leq \frac{\epsilon\|\xi\|_X}{2(\|Dg(y)\|_{Y,Z} + 1)}.$$

By Proposition 6.3.7, the assumed differentiability of f at x implies that f is locally Lipschitz at x , i.e., there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ and $L > 0$ such that $B(x, \delta_2) \subset U$ and for all $\xi \in X$ satisfying $0 < \|\xi\|_X < \delta_2$ there holds

$$\|f(x + \xi) - f(x)\|_Y \leq L\|\xi\|_X.$$

Set $\delta_x = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$.

By the assumed differentiability of g at y there exists $\delta_y > 0$ such that $B(y, \delta_y) \subset V$ and for all $0 < \|\eta\|_Y < \delta_y$ there holds

$$\|g(y + \eta) - g(y) - Dg(y)\eta\|_Z \leq \frac{\epsilon\|\eta\|_Y}{2L}.$$

Set $\delta = \min\{\delta_x, \delta_y/L\}$. (Hence $L\delta \leq \delta_y$ which we will use in a moment.)

If we set $\eta(\xi) = f(x + \xi) - f(x) = f(x + \xi) - y$, then $h = g \circ f$ satisfies

$$h(x + \xi) - h(x) = g(f(x + \xi)) - g(f(x)) = g(y + \eta(\xi)) - g(y).$$

Thus for all $\xi \in X$ satisfying $\|\xi\|_X < \delta$ we have

$$\|\eta(\xi)\|_Y = \|f(x + \xi) - f(x)\|_Y \leq L\|\xi\|_X < L\delta \leq \delta_y$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|h(x + \xi) - h(x) - Dg(y)Df(x)\xi\|_Z \\ &= \|g(y + \eta(\xi)) - g(y) - Dg(y)\eta(\xi) + Dg(y)\eta(\xi) - Dg(y)Df(x)\xi\|_Z \\ &\leq \|g(y + \eta(\xi)) - g(y) - Dg(y)\eta(\xi)\|_Z + \|Dg(y)\eta(\xi) - Dg(y)Df(x)\xi\|_Z \\ &\leq \|g(y + \eta(\xi)) - g(y) - Dg(y)\eta(\xi)\|_Z + \|Dg(y)\|_{Y,Z}\|\eta(\xi) - Df(x)\xi\|_Y \\ &= \|g(y + \eta(\xi)) - g(y) - Dg(y)\eta(\xi)\|_Z \\ &\quad + \|Dg(y)\|_{Y,Z}\|f(x + \xi) - f(x) - Df(x)\xi\|_Y \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon\|\eta(\xi)\|_Y}{2L} + \|Dg(y)\|_{Y,Z}\frac{\epsilon\|\xi\|_X}{2(\|Dg(y)\|_{Y,Z} + 1)} \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon L\|\xi\|_X}{2L} + \frac{\epsilon\|\xi\|_X}{2} \\ &= \epsilon\|\xi\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

Since $Df(x) \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ and $Dg(y) \in \mathcal{B}(Y, Z)$, then $Dg(y)Df(x) \in \mathcal{B}(X, Z)$.

This shows that $h = g \circ f$ is differentiable at x with derivative is $Dg(f(x))Df(x)$. \square