

Math 541 Lecture #14

II.11: More on Extensions from Semialgebras to σ -algebras

II.12: The Lebesgue Measure of Sets in \mathbb{R}^N , Part I

11. More on Extensions from semiagelbras to σ -algebras. We will develop a bit more the theory on the extension of a measure λ on semialgebra and sequential covering \mathcal{Q} to a measure space $\{X, \mathcal{A}, \mu\}$.

Theorem 11.1. Every measure λ on a semialgebra \mathcal{Q} (and sequential covering of X) generates a measure space $\{X, \mathcal{A}, \mu\}$ where \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra containing \mathcal{Q} and μ is a complete measure on \mathcal{A} , which agrees with λ on \mathcal{Q} . Moreover, if \mathcal{Q}_0 is the smallest σ -algebra containing \mathcal{Q} , then the restriction of μ to \mathcal{Q}_0 is an extension of λ to \mathcal{Q}_0 , and this extension is unique if λ is a σ -finite measure (i.e., there is a countable $\{Q_n\}$ in \mathcal{Q} such that $X = \cup Q_n$ and $\lambda(Q_n) < \infty$).

Proof. There only remains to show the uniqueness of the extension of λ to \mathcal{Q}_0 when λ is σ -finite.

To this end, suppose μ_1, μ_2 are extensions of λ to \mathcal{Q}_0 , and let μ_e be the outer measure determined by λ on \mathcal{Q} .

The elements of \mathcal{Q}_σ are elements of \mathcal{Q}_0 , i.e., $\mathcal{Q}_\sigma \subset \mathcal{Q}_0$, because \mathcal{Q}_0 is the smallest σ -algebra containing \mathcal{Q} .

Every element of \mathcal{Q}_σ can be written as the countable disjoint union of elements of \mathcal{Q} (see the Lemma in Lecture #12).

Since the restriction of each of μ_1 and μ_2 to \mathcal{Q} is λ , i.e., $\mu_i(Q) = \lambda(Q)$ for all $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ (for each $i = 1, 2$), we have agreement of μ_1 and μ_2 on \mathcal{Q}_σ by the countable additivity of the measures.

Since $\mu_e(Q) = \lambda(Q)$ for all $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$, we also have agreement of μ_1 and μ_2 with μ_e on \mathcal{Q}_σ .

Next, for $E \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ having finite outer measure $\mu_e(E)$, we will show that $\mu_1(E)$ and $\mu_2(E)$ agree with $\mu_e(E)$.

For every $\epsilon > 0$ there is by Proposition 10.1 (applied to μ_1 as an extension of λ) a set $E_{\sigma, \epsilon} \in \mathcal{Q}_\sigma$ such that $E \subset E_{\sigma, \epsilon}$ and

$$\mu_1(E_{\sigma, \epsilon}) \leq \mu_e(E) + \epsilon.$$

These imply by the monotonicity of μ_1 that

$$\mu_1(E) \leq \mu_1(E_{\sigma, \epsilon}) \leq \mu_e(E) + \epsilon.$$

We will prove the opposite inequality.

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we obtain for all $E \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ of finite outer measure that

$$\mu_1(E) \leq \mu_e(E).$$

Since $E \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ and $E_{\sigma, \epsilon} \in \mathcal{Q}_\sigma \subset \mathcal{Q}_0$, we have $E_{\sigma, \epsilon} - E \in \mathcal{Q}_0$.

The restriction of μ_e to \mathcal{Q}_0 is a measure because the restriction of μ_e to \mathcal{A} is a measure and $\mathcal{Q}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}$.

Then, since $\mu_e(E) < \infty$, $\mu_1 = \mu_e$ on \mathcal{Q}_σ , and $\mu_1(E_{\sigma,\epsilon}) - \mu_e(E) \leq \epsilon$, we have

$$\mu_e(E_{\sigma,\epsilon} - E) = \mu_e(E_{\sigma,\epsilon}) - \mu_e(E) = \mu_1(E_{\sigma,\epsilon}) - \mu_e(E) \leq \epsilon.$$

Since $E \subset E_{\sigma,\epsilon}$, we have $E_{\sigma,\epsilon} = E \cup (E_{\sigma,\epsilon} - E)$ as a disjoint union of elements of \mathcal{Q}_0 .

Since μ_e and μ_1 are measures on \mathcal{Q}_0 with $\mu_e = \mu_1$ on \mathcal{Q}_σ , $\mu_1(F) \leq \mu_e(F)$ for all $F \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ satisfying $\mu_e(F) < \infty$, and $\mu_e(E_{\sigma,\epsilon} - E) \leq \epsilon$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_e(E) &\leq \mu_e(E_{\sigma,\epsilon}) = \mu_1(E_{\sigma,\epsilon}) \\ &= \mu_1(E) + \mu_1(E_{\sigma,\epsilon} - E) \\ &\leq \mu_1(E) + \mu_e(E_{\sigma,\epsilon} - E) \\ &\leq \mu_1(E) + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

The arbitrariness of ϵ implies that $\mu_e(E) \leq \mu_1(E)$.

Thus for $E \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ with finite outer measure we obtain $\mu_1(E) = \mu_e(E)$.

Replacing μ_1 with μ_2 in this argument results in $\mu_2(E) = \mu_e(E)$ for all $E \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ with finite outer measure.

Finally, we show that $\mu_1(E) = \mu_2(E)$ for any $E \in \mathcal{Q}_0$.

Since λ is σ -finite, there is a countably collection $\{Q_n\}$ in \mathcal{Q} such that $\mu_e(Q_n) < \infty$ for each n , and

$$E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_n \cap E,$$

where each $Q_n \cap E$ is in \mathcal{Q}_0 and has finite outer measure.

As done before, we may assume that the collection $\{Q_n\}$ is pairwise disjoint.

Since μ_1 and μ_2 agree on those elements of \mathcal{Q}_0 of finite outer measure, we have

$$\mu_1(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_1(Q_n \cap E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_2(Q_n \cap E) = \mu_2(E).$$

This shows that $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ on \mathcal{Q}_0 . □

The lack of uniqueness of the extension for non- σ -finite measures λ is a homework problem.

12. The Lebesgue Measure of Sets in \mathbb{R}^N . The collection \mathcal{Q} of $\frac{1}{2}$ -closed dyadic cubes, including the empty set, is a semialgebra and a sequential covering of \mathbb{R}^N .

The Euclidean measure (or volume) λ of the $\frac{1}{2}$ -closed dyadic cubes in \mathbb{R}^N is a σ -finite measure on \mathcal{Q} , and hence λ is finitely additive and countably subadditive.

The measure λ on \mathcal{Q} determines an outer measure μ_e on \mathbb{R}^N , called the **Lebesgue outer measure** on \mathbb{R}^N .

Proposition 12.1. Let \mathcal{M} be the σ -algebra generated by the Euclidean measure λ on \mathcal{Q} . Then \mathcal{M} contains

- (i) the $\frac{1}{2}$ -closed dyadic cubes \mathcal{Q} ,
- (ii) all open subsets of \mathbb{R}^N ,
- (iii) all closed subsets of \mathbb{R}^N , and
- (iv) all sets in \mathbb{R}^N of the form \mathcal{F}_σ , \mathcal{G}_δ , $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$, $\mathcal{G}_{\delta\sigma}$, etc.

Proof. The collection of $\frac{1}{2}$ -closed dyadic cubes \mathcal{Q} and the Euclidean measure λ on them satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 9.1.

This implies that $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{M}$.

Since every open set in \mathbb{R}^N is a countable union of $\frac{1}{2}$ -closed dyadic cubes, the open sets are in \mathcal{M} .

Since the σ -algebra \mathcal{M} is closed under complements, every closed subset is in \mathcal{M} .

Since the σ -algebra \mathcal{M} is closed under countable unions and countable intersections, sets of the type \mathcal{F}_σ , \mathcal{G}_δ , etc., are in \mathcal{M} . \square

The restriction μ of the Lebesgue outer measure μ_e to \mathcal{M} is the **Lebesgue measure** in \mathbb{R}^N , and the sets in \mathcal{M} are called the **Lebesgue measurable** sets in \mathbb{R}^N .

The Lebesgue measure μ on \mathcal{M} is an extension of the Euclidean measure λ on \mathcal{Q} , that is μ extends the notion of volume from dyadic cubes to the sets in \mathcal{M} .

Recall the the Borel σ -algebra \mathcal{B} is the smallest σ -algebra containing the open sets.

Also, each element of the Borel σ -algebra is called a Borel set.

The Borel sets include the sets of type \mathcal{F}_σ , \mathcal{G}_δ , etc.

Corollary. (i) $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{M}$. (ii) Every finite or countable infinite subset of \mathbb{R}^N has Lebesgue measure 0.

Proof. (i) Because \mathcal{M} is σ -algebra containing the open sets and \mathcal{B} is the smallest σ -algebra containing the open sets, we have $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{M}$.

(ii) For $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the singleton set $\{x\}$ belongs to \mathcal{M} because its complement is open.

Since a singleton set $\{x\}$ belongs to $\frac{1}{2}$ -closed dyadic cubes of arbitrarily small Euclidean measure, we have $\mu_e(\{x\}) = 0$, and so $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ since μ is the restriction of μ_e to \mathcal{M} .

A finite subset of \mathbb{R}^N is a disjoint union of singleton sets.

Since each singleton set has Lebesgue measure zero, their finite union has Lebesgue measure zero by finite additivity.

A countably infinite subset of \mathbb{R}^N is a disjoint union of singleton sets.

Since each singleton set has Lebesgue measure zero, their countable union has Lebesgue measure zero by countable additivity. \square

Remark. We will see soon that there are Lebesgue measurable sets that are not Borel sets, that is, the inclusion $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{M}$ is strict.

Homework Problem 14A. Let \mathcal{Q}_0 be the smallest σ -algebra containing the semialgebra \mathcal{Q} of $\frac{1}{2}$ -closed dyadic cubes in \mathbb{R}^N . Prove that \mathcal{Q}_0 is the Borel σ -algebra \mathcal{B} on \mathbb{R}^N .