

5.5 Self-Adjoint Operators and Sturm-Liouville EXP.

Consider

$$I = \int_a^b v(x) [u''(x) + 4u(x)] dx$$

Using integration by parts ^{twice} (assuming $v(x)$ and $u(x)$ suff. smooth)

$$\int_a^b v(x) [u''(x) + 4u(x)] dx = \int_a^b v(x) u''(x) dx + 4 \int_a^b v(x) u(x) dx =$$

$$= v(x) u'(x) \Big|_a^b - \int_a^b v'(x) u'(x) dx + 4 \int_a^b v(x) u(x) dx =$$

$$= v u' \Big|_a^b - u v' \Big|_a^b + \int_a^b u v'' dx + 4 \int_a^b v u dx$$

$$= (v u' - u v') \Big|_a^b + \int_a^b u [v'' + 4v] dx$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\int_a^b [v [u'' + 4u] - u [v'' + 4v]] dx = (v u' - u v') \Big|_a^b} \quad (1.1)$$

Defining $L[u] \equiv \frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} + 4u$, where $L \equiv \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 4I$.
DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR.

The last expression can be written as

$$\boxed{\int_a^b (v L[u] - u L[v]) dx = [v u' - u v'] \Big|_a^b} \quad (1.2)$$

Consider now

$$I = \int_a^b v(x) [u''(x) + u'(x) + u] dx$$

Using integration by parts (assuming $v(x)$ and $u(x)$ suff. smooth)

$$\int_a^b v [u'' + u' + u] dx = \int_a^b v u'' dx + \int_a^b v u' dx + \int_a^b v u dx =$$

$$= v u' \Big|_a^b - \int_a^b v' u' dx + v u \Big|_a^b - \int_a^b u v' dx + \int_a^b v u dx =$$

$$= v u' \Big|_a^b - u v' \Big|_a^b + \int_a^b u v'' dx + v u \Big|_a^b - \int_a^b u v' dx + \int_a^b v u dx$$

$$= \int_a^b u [v'' - v' + v] dx + (v u' - u v') \Big|_a^b + v u \Big|_a^b$$

$$\therefore \boxed{\int_a^b [v [u'' + u' + u] - u [v'' - v' + v]] dx = [v u' - u v'] \Big|_a^b + v u \Big|_a^b} \quad (2.1)$$

or defining the differential operator:

$$\hat{L}[v] \equiv v'' - v' + v \quad \text{and} \quad L[u] \equiv u'' + u' + u.$$

we obtain

$$\boxed{\int_a^b [v L[u] - u \hat{L}[v]] dx = [u' v - u v'] \Big|_a^b + v u \Big|_a^b} \quad (2.2)$$

There is an important difference between the two integral formulas (1.2) and (2.2).

that is in the differential operator obtained after integrating by part twice. (in a way that all derivatives are removed from the function $u(x)$)

i) In (1.2) the new differential operator $\hat{L}$ acting over the function $v(x)$, is such that

$$\hat{L} = L.$$

ii) But in (2.1) this new differential operator $\hat{L}$ acting over $v(x)$ is

$$\hat{L} = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{d}{dx} + I \neq \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{d}{dx} + I = L$$

The operator: $L[u] = u'' + 4u$ is a particular case of the Sturm-Liouville operator

$$L[u] \equiv [p(x)u'(x)]' + q(x)u(x).$$

where $p(x) \equiv 1$, $q(x) \equiv 4$.

However, the operator

$$\hat{L}[u] = u'' - u' + u$$

is not of Sturm-Liouville type.

GREEN'S FORMULA.

Theorem.1:- If $L[u] \equiv [p(x)u'(x)]' + q(x)u(x)$ is the Sturm-Liouville operator and $u(x), v(x) \in C^2[a, b]$ and $p(x)$ is continuous then

$$\int_a^b [uL[v] - vL[u]] dx = p(x) [uv' - v u'] \Big|_a^b$$

Proof:-

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b [u[(p v')' + q v] - v[(p u')' + q u]] dx = \\ &= \int_a^b [u(p v')' - v(p u')'] dx = \\ &= p u v' \Big|_a^b - \int_a^b p v' u' dx - p u' v \Big|_a^b + \int_a^b p u' v' dx = \\ &= p(x) (u v' - u' v) \Big|_a^b \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \boxed{\int_a^b [uL[v] - vL[u]] dx = p(x) [u v' - u' v] \Big|_a^b} \quad \checkmark (4.1)$$

This is called Green's formula

The differential form of this formula is called Lagrange identity:

$$\boxed{uL[v] - vL[u] = \left[p(x)(uv' - u'v) \right]'} \quad (5.1)$$

Definition:- If an operator L satisfies (4.1) for any two functions $u(x), v(x) \in C^2[a, b]$ and u and v satisfy boundary conditions such that the right hand side of (4.1) is zero

or $\boxed{\int_a^b [uL[v] - vL[u]] dx = 0} \quad (5.2)$

then we will say that the operator L (corresponding to these boundary conditions) is Self-Adjoint.

Remark 1:- If L is such that $\hat{L}$ (obtained by int. twice $\int_a^b vL[u] dx$) is different than L and the BC's cancel the rhs, then $\hat{L}$ is called the adjoint of L for these BC's.

Remark 2:- A Self-adjoint operator $L \xrightarrow{(L=\hat{L})}$ is a concept similar to the concept of Symmetric matrix: $A = A^T$

Theorem 2 Consider the regular S-L EVP

$$\begin{cases} L[\phi] + \lambda \sigma(x) \phi = [p(x) \phi']' + q(x) \phi + \lambda \sigma(x) \phi = 0 & (6.1) \\ \beta_1 \phi(a) + \beta_2 \phi'(a) = 0 & (6.2) \\ \beta_3 \phi(b) + \beta_4 \phi'(b) = 0 & (6.3) \end{cases}$$

The operator L defining (6.1) is self-adjoint for the boundary conditions (6.2) and (6.3): Dirichlet, Neumann, Robin or combinations of them.

Proof:- In the Homework problems.

Application of the concept of Self-adjoint operator
Orthogonality of eigenfunctions for the SL-EVP.

Let $Lu \equiv [p(x)u']' + q(x)u$ be the S-L operator and ϕ_n and ϕ_m two eigenfunctions corresponding to eigenvalues λ_n and λ_m with $\lambda_n \neq \lambda_m$.

Then,

$$\int_a^b ([\phi_m L[\phi_n] - \phi_n L[\phi_m]]) dx \stackrel{\text{Thm 1}}{=} p(x) (\phi_m \phi_n' - \phi_n \phi_m') \Big|_a^b$$

Previous
Thm 2
0

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_a^b [\phi_m (-\lambda_n \sigma \phi_n) - \phi_n (-\lambda_m \sigma \phi_m)] dx = \\ &= (\lambda_m - \lambda_n) \int_a^b \phi_n \phi_m \sigma(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_m \neq \lambda_n$ then,

$$\int_a^b \phi_n(x) \phi_m(x) \sigma(x) dx = 0.$$

or $\phi_n(x)$ is orthogonal to $\phi_m(x)$ with weight $\sigma(x)$.

Thm. - All the eigenvalues for a regular SL-EVP are real.

Proof. -

First, we will prove that if λ is a complex eigenvalue with corresponding eigenfunction ϕ , then $\bar{\lambda}$ is also an eigenvalue with corresponding eigenfunction $\bar{\phi}$. In fact, if

$$L[\phi] + \lambda \sigma \phi = 0$$

$\Rightarrow$ The conjugate equation is $\xrightarrow{z = x + iy} \bar{z} = x - iy.$

$$\overline{L[\phi]} + \bar{\lambda} \sigma \bar{\phi} = 0, \quad (\sigma \text{ is real})$$

Now, $\overline{L[\phi]} = L[\bar{\phi}]$ (why?) $\Rightarrow \boxed{L(\bar{\phi}) + \bar{\lambda} \sigma \bar{\phi} = 0}$ (8.1)
Ex. 5.5.7.

And $\bar{\phi}$ satisfies the same BC's that ϕ satisfies

$$\beta_1 \bar{\phi}(a) + \beta_2 \bar{\phi}'(a) = 0, \quad \beta_1, \beta_2 \text{ are real.}$$

$$\text{and } \beta_3 \bar{\phi}(b) + \beta_4 \bar{\phi}'(b) = 0$$

Therefore, $\bar{\phi}$ satisfies a SL-EVP. with eigenvalue $\bar{\lambda}$.

On the other hand, $\lambda \neq \bar{\lambda}$ and the orthogonality condition is verified

$$(\lambda - \bar{\lambda}) \int_a^b \phi \bar{\phi} \sigma dx = (\lambda - \bar{\lambda}) \int_a^b |\phi|^2 \sigma dx = 0$$

$\Rightarrow \phi(x) \equiv 0$ Contradiction. Then λ can't be complex.

Thm.- For each eigenvalue λ_n there is only one eigenfunction linearly independent.

Proof.- Assume $\phi_1(x)$ and $\phi_2(x)$ are eigenfunctions corresponding to the same eigenvalue λ then,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_2 * [L(\phi_1) + \lambda \sigma \phi_1] &= 0 \\ \phi_1 * [L(\phi_2) + \lambda \sigma \phi_2] &= 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\phi_2 L(\phi_1) - \phi_1 L(\phi_2) = 0$$

Using Lagrange identity

$$0 = \phi_2 L(\phi_1) - \phi_1 L(\phi_2) = \frac{d}{dx} [p(\phi_2 \phi_1' - \phi_1 \phi_2')]$$

$$\Rightarrow p(\phi_2 \phi_1' - \phi_1 \phi_2') \text{ constant}$$

$$\text{Clearly, if } \begin{cases} \phi_1(a)=0, & \phi_2(a)=0 \\ \text{or} & \\ \phi_1'(a)=0, & \phi_2'(a)=0 \end{cases} \text{ or } \begin{cases} \phi_1(b)=0, & \phi_2(b)=0 \\ \text{or} & \\ \phi_1'(b)=0, & \phi_2'(b)=0 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{then } \left. \begin{aligned} &(\phi_2 \phi_1' - \phi_1 \phi_2')(a) = 0 \\ &\text{or} \\ &(\phi_2 \phi_1' - \phi_1 \phi_2')(b) = 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} &(\phi_2 \phi_1' - \phi_1 \phi_2')(x) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{\phi_2}{\phi_1} \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_2 = C \phi_1 \Rightarrow \phi_2 \text{ and } \phi_1 \text{ are linearly independent.}$$

For Robin B.C. the thm is also true.